





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,477	03/08/2002	Christophe Bezault	BEZAULT=1	1203
7590 03/24/2004			EXAMINER	
BROWDY and NEIMARK			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
SUITE 300 624 Ninth Street N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINTON, DC 20001-5303			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/092,477	BEZAULT, CHRISTOPHE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blair M. Johnson	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty (iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH stute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u> 1 December 2003</u> .					
·—	-					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
, , ,	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 1-5 and 9-14 is/are rejected.					
	•					
Application Papers	, , ,					
_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.00(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ∏ Interview دری	mmary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(08) 5)	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/092,477 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-5 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffith in view of Applicant's specification.

The concept of providing elastic bungee cords 15 to tension a covering on a frame is well known, as illustrated by Griffith, column 3, lines 3-9. Applicant discloses, on page 6, that the material used for his cables is known. It would have been obvious to modify the cables 15 of Griffith whereby they are made of the known material disclosed, such being obvious so as to achieve the well known advantages of this material. The method limitation of claims 2 and 11 is not given weight due to the fact that the present claims are article claims. The color, crossection and diameter are all limitations that would have been obvious design modifications.

Claims 1-6 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Griffith in view of either Richno or Mellea et al.

The structure of Griffith may be considered either one of the broadly recited "covering" or "cladding". Both Richno and Mellea et al each disclose that silicone, of which polysiloxane is a well known example, and in the case of Mellea et al, specifically polysiloxane, has the property of elasticity when tensioned. Richno provides silicone rubber bands, or cables, which are stretched (column 4, lines 26-32), and Mellea et al provides polysiloxane to provide a superior elastic material, paragraph 0032. It would have been obvious to modify Griffith whereby his elastic cords are made of polysiloxane to achieve the advantages promoted by both Richno and Mellea et al.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/092,477

Art Unit: 3634

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Mellea et al has an effective filing date of 3/29/00 via provisional application 60/192902.

Mellea et al discusses the advantageous properties of polysiloxane in paragraph 0032 which is applicable beyond the embodiment of treating fabric.

The Richno cables are subject to stretching since the bands are stretched when they are twisted.

Applicant has acknowledged the advantages of polysiloxane and that such is known in the art. Merely applying this material to an art, bungee cords, where these properties are greatly desired would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art attempting to improve bungee cables.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0526. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 3/22/04